#### REMARKS

Claims 1-20 are pending in the present application.

Claims 1–2, 10 and 18 were amended. Examination of the claims is respectfully requested.

## 35 U.S.C. § 102 (Anticipation)

Claims 1, 5, 7, 9–10 and 18 were rejected in the final Office Action in the parent application under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by *Davis*. This rejection is respectfully traversed.

Independent claims 1, 10, and 18 each recite that the data record for messages directed to the subscriber includes at least one message which was previously delivered to the subscriber. That is, the database includes messages which have been delivered as well as messages received for delivery but not yet delivered. Such a feature is not shown or suggested by the cited reference. The cited portion of *Davis* discloses a system for delivering messages in which messages having a length longer than a predetermined message length 112 (e.g., lengthy messages or messages with attachments) are queued in temporary message memory 42 for delivery to a special combination pager and cordless telephone 40 when retrieval is requested by the user, with a page being transmitted at the time the message is stored with a predetermined message 124 notifying the subscriber of the stored message. *Davis*, column 4, lines 3–21. Upon receiving an authorized retrieval request, the stored message is transmitted in its entirety to the combination pager and cordless telephone 40 and is then erased from the temporary memory 42. *Davis*, column 4, lines

ATTORNEY DOCKET No. PAGE01-00136 U.S. SERIAL No. 09/136,839

PATENT

22-59. Davis thus teaches storing only messages which have not yet been delivered to the

subscriber, and only messages which are lengthy and/or accompanied by attachments. Davis does

not teach or suggest storing messages which were previously delivered to the subscriber, but instead

explicitly teaches deleting stored messages upon successful delivery.

Independent claims 1, 10 and 18 are each recite that selected review information related to

the stored message are sent to the subscriber-e.g., an identification of the origin of the message, the

contents of a "re" line, etc. Such a feature is not shown or suggested by Davis. With respect to

stored messages, Davis teaches initially transmitting a predetermined page notifying the subscriber

that a message has been stored. Davis, column 4, lines 10–13. In response to a subsequent retrieval

request, Davis teaches that the entire message is sent (and resent if an error occurs during the first

attempt). Davis, column 4, lines 38-40. Davis does not teach or suggest transmitting "review

information".

Claim 7 recites that response messages to stored messages are stored in association with the

stored messages within the data record/database. Such a feature is not shown or suggested by the

cited reference. Davis contains no teaching or suggestion regarding response messages.

Therefore, the rejection of claims 1, 5, 7, 9–10 and 18 under 35 U.S.C. § 102 has been

overcome.

Page 12 of 20

### 35 U.S.C. § 103 (Obviousness)

Claims 2–4, 6, 8, 11–17 and 19–20 were rejected in the final Office Action within the parent application under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Davis* in view of *Pepe et al*. This rejection is respectfully traversed.

As noted above, independent claims 1, 10 and 18 each recite that the data record for messages directed to the subscriber includes at least one message which was previously delivered to the subscriber. Such a feature is not shown or suggested by *Davis* or *Pepe et al*. The cited portion of *Pepe et al* discloses a system for delivering wireless messages to a PDA in which messages which are currently undeliverable (i.e., the PDA is out of radio range or not registered) are sent to external storage. *Pepe et al*, column 19, lines 30–36. When retrieving <u>undelivered</u> messages from the external storage, the PDA initiates a fetch which results in the undelivered messages being <u>moved</u> from the storage to a "pending area." *Pepe et al*, column 19, lines 46–64. *Pepe et al* does not teach or suggest continuing storage of messages which have already been delivered to a subscriber.

Claims 2 and 17 each recite that <u>each</u> message is stored in the database <u>after</u> RF transmission of the message to the paging device (regardless of whether RF transmission is successfully received by the paging device). Such a feature is not shown or suggested by the cited references. *Davis* teaches that only messages exceeding a predetermined length are stored, and those messages are stored prior to transmission to the combination pager cordless telephone 40, not <u>after</u> RF transmission. *Pepe et al* teaches storing (only) undelivered messages, which necessarily involves storing

those messages prior to transmission.

Claims 3, 11 and 19 each recite that only selected fields from stored wireless messages are

sent to the subscriber in response to the initial retrieval request. Such a feature is not shown or

suggested by the cited references. Davis contains no teaching or suggestion regarding partial

retrieval of messages. Pepe et al teaches segmented transmission of an entire message, not

transmission of only selected fields from the message.

Claims 4, 12 and 20 each recite that complete (selected) stored messages are subsequently

sent to the subscriber only in response to a request for the complete stored message for the selected

stored messages. Such a feature is not shown or suggested by the cited references. Neither Davis

nor Pepe et al contains any teaching or suggestion regarding a complete message retrieval request

in addition to an "initial" message retrieval requests.

Claims 8 and 16 each recite that, when a stored message has not been successfully delivered

to the subscriber's paging device by RF transmission (e.g., the paging device has been turned off or

the subscriber has been out of the paging service area) and the subscriber retrieves the stored

message (by other means), the subscriber may optionally cancel future efforts to deliver the stored

message to the paging device by RF transmission. Such a feature is not shown or suggested by the

cited references. Neither Davis nor Pepe et al contains any teaching or suggestion of canceling

delivery of a queued message. The message screening disclosed in *Pepe et al* refers to redirection

of messages, determining which messages (or associated message receipt notifications) are

Page 14 of 20

transmitted wirelessly in addition to or in lieu to being transmitted to another media (fax or voice mail), NOT to cancelling queued delivery of a message.

Therefore, the rejection of claims 2–4, 6, 8, 11–17 and 19–20 under 35 U.S.C. § 103 has been overcome.

# **AMENDMENTS WITH MARKINGS TO SHOW CHANGES MADE**

Claims 1-2, 10 and 18 were amended herein as follows:

1	1. (twice amended) For use in a wireless messaging system, a message distribution system
2	capable of allowing a subscriber of said wireless messaging system to review stored wireless
3	messages sent to said subscriber comprising:
4	a first I/O interface capable of receiving, from said subscriber, a message retrieval
5	request for messages directed to said subscriber;
6	a message retrieval controller coupled to said first I/O interface capable of
7	determining an identity of said subscriber from identification data contained
8	in said message retrieval request,
9	accessing a data record associated with said subscriber, said data record
10	containing one or more of said stored wireless messages directed to said subscriber including
11	at least one stored message which was previously delivered to said subscriber, and
12	transferring to said subscriber selected review information related to at least
13	one of said stored wireless messages within said data record.

2. (twice amended) The message distribution system set forth in Claim 1 further comprising an interface to a database coupled to said message distribution system and [capable of] storing wireless messages which are directed to said subscriber independent of whether said wireless messages have been delivered to said subscriber, wherein each wireless message directed to said subscriber is stored in said database after transmission of said wireless message for reception by a paging device for said subscriber, regardless of whether said wireless message was received by said wireless paging device.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

1	10. (twice amended) A wireless messaging system comprising:
2	a plurality of RF transceiver facilities capable of transmitting and receiving wireless
3	messages to and from paging devices used by subscribers of said wireless messaging system;
4	a message distribution system capable of allowing a subscriber of said wireless
5	messaging system to review stored wireless messages sent to said subscriber comprising:
6	a first I/O interface capable of receiving, from said subscriber, a message
7	retrieval request for messages directed to said subscriber; and
8	a message retrieval controller coupled to said first I/O interface capable of
9	determining an identity of said subscriber from identification data contained in said
10	message retrieval request, accessing a data record associated with said subscriber,
11	said data record containing one or more of said stored wireless messages directed to
12	said subscriber including at least one stored message which was previously delivered
13	to said subscriber, and transferring to said subscriber selected review information
14	relating to at least one of said stored wireless messages within said data record; and
15	a database coupled to said message distribution system [capable of] storing said
16	stored wireless messages.

18. (amended) For use in a wireless messaging system, a method for allowing a subscriber		
of the wireless messaging system to view on a display device stored wireless messages sent to the		
subscriber comprising the steps of:		
receiving a message retrieval request from the subscriber for wireless messages		
directed to the subscriber;		
determining an identity of the subscriber from identification data contained in the		
message retrieval request;		
accessing a data record associated with the subscriber, the data record containing one		
or more of the stored wireless messages [sent] directed to the subscriber including at least one stored		
message which was previously delivered to said subscriber; and		
transferring selected review information relating to at least one of the stored wireless		
messages to the subscriber.		

If any issues arise, or if the Examiner has any suggestions for expediting allowance of this Application, the Applicant respectfully invites the Examiner to contact the undersigned at the telephone number indicated below or at *dvenglarik@novakov.com*.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees connected with this communication or credit any overpayment to Novakov Davis - PageMart Deposit Account No. 50-0302.

Respectfully submitted,

NOVAKOV DAVIS & MUNCK, P.C.

Date: August 9, 2001

William A. Munck Registration No. 39,308

900 Three Galleria Tower 13155 Noel Road Dallas, Texas 75240 (214) 922-9221

email: wmunck@novakov.com